

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/837,497	04/18/2001	Hongyi Zhou	17698	7892	
23676 SHELDON M	7590 11/18/200 AK ROSE & ANDERS	EXAM	EXAMINER		
100 Corson Street			DADA, BEEMNET W		
Third Floor PASADENA.	CA 91103-3842	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2435		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/18/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/837,497		ZHOU, HONGYI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BEEMNET W. DADA	2435	

	BEEMNET W. DADA	2435						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07?	ater thán SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension after have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fex pappropriate extension for under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t     (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c</li> </ul>			ne issues for					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL=324)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ripilant ranonamont (i	102 02-17.					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is of row libe ja so follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-7 and 9-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Kimyen Vu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431								

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the art on record fails to teach the use of authorization codes associated with different privacy levels. Examiner disappease. Examiner would point out that, Celik (US 6,374,259 B1) teaches storing web card information or a first user of the web card system in the database, wherein the web card information are not be used to generate web cards having different revisors corresponding to different privacy control levels (i.e., public, private and/or limited access, column 6, lines 35-65). Examiner would further point out that the art on record teaches the claim limitations and therefore, the rejection is respectfully maintained.